arXiv:1102.4883v1 [math.AT] 24 Feb 2011

A Homeomorphism Invariant of Polyhedra

Qibing Zheng

Abstract. In this paper, we define a new bigraded L-homology on finite simpicial complexes and prove that L-homology is a homeomorphism invariant of polyhedra.

The following definition is a brief review of finite simplicial complex theory.

Definition 1 A (finite, abstract) simplicial complex K with vertex set S is a set of subsets of the finite set S satisfying the following two conditions.

1) $\phi \in K$ and for all $s \in S$, $\{s\} \in K$.

2) If $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$, then $\tau \in K$.

An element σ of K is called an n-simplex of K if σ has $n{+}1$ vertices of S and $|\sigma| = n$ is the dimension of σ. Specifically, the empty simplex ϕ has dimension -1. A face of a simplex σ is a subset $\tau \subset \sigma$. A proper face is a proper subset. A simplicial subcomplex L of K is a subset $L \subset K$ such that L is also a simplicial complex. For a simplex σ of K, the link of σ is the simplicial subcomplex $\mathrm{link}_K\sigma = \{\tau \in K \,|\, \sigma{\sqcup}\tau \in K,\ \sigma{\cap}\tau = \phi\}$.

For two simplicial complexes K and L, their union is the simplicial complex $K * L = \{\sigma{\sqcup}\tau \,|\, \sigma{\in}K,\ \tau{\in}L\}$ ($\sqcup$ is the disjoint union). The cone CK is the union of K and the simplicial complex with only one vertex v.

For two simplicial complexes K and L with respectively vertex set S and T, their Cartesian product is the simplicial complex $K\bar{\times}L$ with vertex set $S{\times}T$ defined as follows. Give S and T an order. For two non-empty simplexes $\sigma{=}\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\} \in K$ and $\tau{=}\{w_1, \cdots, w_n\} \in L$ such that $v_1{<}\cdots{<}v_m$ and $w_1{<}\cdots{<}w_n$, $\sigma\bar{\times}\tau$ is the set of subsets of $\sigma{\times}\tau$ of the form $\{(v_{i_1}, w_{j_1}), \cdots, (v_{i_s}, w_{j_s})\}$ such that $i_1{\leqslant}\cdots{\leqslant}i_s$ and $j_1{\leqslant}\cdots{\leqslant}j_s$. Then $K\bar{\times}L = \{\phi\} \cup_{\sigma\in K, \tau\in L, \sigma,\tau\neq\phi} \sigma\bar{\times}\tau$. Notice that by definition, if one of K and L is the empty set $\{\phi\}$, then $K\bar{\times}L = \{\phi\}$.

For simplicial complexes K and L with respectively vertex set S and T, a simplicial map $f\colon K \to L$ is a map $f\colon S \to T$ such that for all $\sigma \in K$, $f(\sigma) \in L$. K is simplicial isomorphic to L if there are simplicial maps $f\colon K \to L$ and $g\colon L \to K$ such that $fg = 1_L$ and $gf = 1_K$ (1_K and 1_L denote the identity map).

In the following definition, we do not use the definition of dual complex of a chain complex and regard the two complexes as the same chain group with different differentials d and δ. This technique is essential for the definition of L-spectral sequence and L-homology.

Definition 2 Let K be a simplicial complex with vertex set S. $C_k(K)$ is the Abellian group generated by all ordered sequence $[v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_k]$ of elements of S modular the following zero relations. $[v_0, \cdots, v_k] = 0$ if $v_i = v_j$ for some $i \neq j$. If there is no repetition in $[v_0, \cdots, v_k]$, then $[v_0, \cdots, v_k] = 0$ if $\{v_0, \cdots, v_k\} \notin K$ and $[\cdots, v_i, \cdots, v_j, \cdots] = -[\cdots, v_j, \cdots, v_i, \cdots]$ (the omitted part unchanged) for all $i < j$. Denote the unique generator of $C_{-1}(K)$ by $[\,]$. $[v_0, \cdots, v_k]$ (including $[\,]$) is called a chain simplex of K of dimension k. $C_*(K) = \oplus_{k\geqslant 0} C_k(K)$ and $\widetilde{C}_*(K) = \oplus_{k\geqslant -1} C_k(K)$ are respectively the chain group and augmented chain group of K.

Two differentials $d\colon C_k(K) \to C_{k-1}(K)$ and $\delta\colon C_k(K) \to C_{k+1}(K)$ are defined as follows. For any chain simplex $[v_0, \cdots, v_k] \in C_k(K)$ ($\hat{v}_i$ means canceling the symbol from the term),

$$d[v_0, \cdots, v_k] = \sum\nolimits_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i [v_0, \cdots, \hat{v}_i, \cdots, v_k] \ (d[v_0] = [\,]),$$

$$\delta[v_0, \cdots, v_k] = \sum\nolimits_{v\in S} [v, v_0, \cdots, v_n] \ (\delta([\,]) = \sum\nolimits_{v\in S} [\,v\,]).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05E45, 05E40, 13F55, 57S25, Secondary 55U05, 16D03, 18G15, 57S10.

Key words and phrases. simplicial complex, L-homology, homeomorphism invariant.

The author is supported by NSFC grant No. 11071125.

$H_*(K) = H_*(C_*(K), d)$ and $H^*(K) = H^*(C_*(K), \delta)$ are respectively the homology and cohomology of K; $\widetilde{H}_*(K) = H_*(\widetilde{C}_*(K), d)$ and $\widetilde{H}^*(K) = H^*(\widetilde{C}_*(K), \delta)$ are respectively the reduced homology and cohomology of K. For an Abellian group G, $H_*(K;G) = H_*(C_*(K)\otimes G, d)$ and $H^*(K;G) = H^*(C_*(K)\otimes G, \delta)$ are respectively the homology and cohomology of K over G; $\widetilde{H}_*(K;G) = H_*(\widetilde{C}_*(K)\otimes G, d)$ and $\widetilde{H}^*(K;G) = H^*(\widetilde{C}_*(K)\otimes G, \delta)$ are respectively the reduced homology and cohomology of K over G.

Convention Notice the difference between simplex and chain simplex. For two simplexes σ and τ, $\sigma\cap\tau$ and $\sigma\cup\tau$ are naturally defined. But for two chain simplexes σ and τ, $\sigma\cap\tau$ and $\sigma\cup\tau$ are not naturally defined. We need only the definition of union of chain simplexes in later proofs. For two chain simplexes $\sigma = [v_0, \cdots, v_m]$ and $\tau = [w_0, \cdots, w_n]$, define $\sigma\cup\tau = [v_0, \cdots, v_m, w_0, \cdots, w_n]$ and $\tau\cup\sigma = [w_0, \cdots, w_n, v_0, \cdots, v_m]$. A chain simplex corresponds to a unique simplex consisting of all the vertices of the chain simplex. If there is no confusion, we use the same symbol to denote both the chain simplex and the simplex it corresponds to. So a chain simplex $\sigma \in K$ implies the corresponding simplex in K and for two chain simplexes σ and τ, $\sigma \subset \tau$ and $\sigma\cap\tau = \phi$ implies the corresponding simplexes satisfy the condition. Since we only use the union $\sigma\cup\tau$ of chain simplexes when $\sigma\cap\tau = \phi$, $\sigma\cup\tau$ and $\tau\cup\sigma$ are chain simplexes that differ only up to sign.

Definition 3 For a simplicial complex K, the double complex $(T_{*,*}(K), \partial)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & T_{3,3} \arrow[d, "d"'] & \cdots \\
 & & T_{2,2} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & T_{2,3} \arrow[d, "d"'] & \cdots \\
 & T_{1,1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & T_{1,2} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & T_{1,3} \arrow[d, "d"'] & \cdots \\
T_{0,0} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & T_{0,1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & T_{0,2} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & T_{0,3} & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

is defined as follows. $T_{*,*}(K)$ is the subgroup of $C_*(K)\otimes C_*(K)$ generated by all tensor product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes\tau$ such that $\sigma\subset\tau$ and $\partial\colon T_{s,t} \to T_{s-1,t}\oplus T_{s,t+1}$ is defined by $\partial(\sigma\otimes\tau) = (d\sigma)\otimes\tau + (-1)^{|\sigma|}\sigma\otimes(\delta\tau)$. In another word, $(T_{*,*}(K), \partial)$ is the double subcomplex of $(C_*(K), d)\otimes(C_*(K), \delta)$ generated by all tensor product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes\tau$ such that $\sigma\subset\tau$. The L-spectral sequence $\{L^r_{s,t}(K), \partial_r\}$ of K is induced by the horizontal filtration $L_n = \oplus_{s\leqslant n} T_{s,*}$ with $\partial_r\colon L^r_{s,t} \to L^r_{s-r,t-r+1}$. $L^1_{*,*}(K)$ is simply denoted by $L_{*,*}(K)$. $L^2_{s,t}(K)$ denoted by $LH_{s,t}(K)$ is called the L-homology of K. For an Abellian group G, define $T_{*,*}(K;G) = T_{*,*}(K)\otimes G$ and we have similar definition of L-spectral sequence $L^r_{s,t}(K;G)$, $L_{*,*}(K;G) = L^1_{*,*}(K;G)$ and L-homology $LH_{*,*}(K;G) = L^2_{*,*}(K;G)$ of K over G.

Similarly, the augmented double complex $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K), \partial)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & \widetilde{T}_{2,2} \arrow[d, "d"'] & \cdots \\
 & & \widetilde{T}_{1,1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & \widetilde{T}_{1,2} \arrow[d, "d"'] & \cdots \\
 & \widetilde{T}_{0,0} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & \widetilde{T}_{0,1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & \widetilde{T}_{0,2} \arrow[d, "d"'] & \cdots \\
\widetilde{T}_{-1,-1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \widetilde{T}_{-1,0} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \widetilde{T}_{-1,1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \widetilde{T}_{-1,2} & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

is the double subcomplex of $(\widetilde{C}_*(K), d)\otimes(\widetilde{C}_*(K), \delta)$ generated by all product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes\tau$ such that $\sigma \subset \tau$. The reduced L-spectral sequence $\{\widetilde{L}^r_{s,t}(K), \partial_r\}$ of K is induced by the horizontal filtration $\widetilde{L}_n = \oplus_{s\leqslant n}\widetilde{T}_{s,*}$ with $\partial_r\colon \widetilde{L}^r_{s,t} \to \widetilde{L}^r_{s-r,t-r+1}$. $\widetilde{L}^1_{*,*}(K)$ is simply denoted by $\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K)$. $\widetilde{L}^2_{s,t}(K)$ denoted by $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K)$ is called the reduced L-homology of K. For an Abellian group G, define $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K;G) = \widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K)\otimes G$ and we have similar definition of reduced L-spectral sequence $\widetilde{L}^r_{s,t}(K;G)$, $\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G) = \widetilde{L}^1_{*,*}(K;G)$ and reduced L-homology $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(K;G) = \widetilde{L}^2_{*,*}(K;G)$ of K over G.

Theorem 4 Let K be a simplicial complex and G be an Abellian group. The reduced L-spectral sequence of K over G satisfies that

$$\widetilde{L}_{s,s+t+1}(K;G) = \oplus_{|\sigma|=s}\,\widetilde{H}^t(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G), \quad (\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G), \partial_1) = (\oplus_{\sigma\in K}\,\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G), \partial_1),$$

with ∂_1 on the right side defined as follows. Denote $x\in\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G)$ by $[x]_\sigma$ in $\oplus_{\sigma\in K}\,\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G)$, then $\partial_1([x]_\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^n [\psi^{\sigma_i}_\sigma(x)]_{\sigma_i}$, where $\sigma = \{v_0, \cdots, v_n\}$, $\sigma_i = \sigma - \{v_i\}$ and $\psi^{\sigma_i}_\sigma$ is defined as follows. The group homomorphism $\psi^{\sigma_i}_\sigma\colon \widetilde{C}_*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G) \to \widetilde{C}_{*+1}(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma_i;G)$ is defined by $\psi^{\sigma_i}_\sigma(\tau) = \tau\cup[v_i]$ for all chain simplexes

$\tau \in \mathrm{link}_K\sigma$. It is obvious that $\widetilde{\psi}_\sigma^{\sigma_i}$ is a cochain complex homomorphism and $\psi_\sigma^{\sigma_i} = (\widetilde{\psi}_\sigma^{\sigma_i})^*$ is the induced cohomology homomorphism.

The L-spectral sequence of K over G satisfies that

$$L_{s,s+t+1}(K;G) = \oplus_{|\sigma|=s}\, \widetilde{H}^t(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G),\ \ (L_{*,*}(K;G),\partial_1) = (\oplus_{\sigma\in K,\sigma\neq\phi}\, \widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G),\partial_1),$$

with ∂_1 on the right side defined as follows. For $x \in \widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G)$, $\partial_1([x]_\sigma)$ is just the same as the reduced case if $|\sigma|>0$ and $\partial_1([x]_\sigma)=0$ if $|\sigma|=0$.

For any $n\geqslant 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to L\widetilde{H}_{0,n}(K;G) \to LH_{0,n}(K;G) \to \widetilde{H}^n(K;G) \to L\widetilde{H}_{-1,n}(K;G) \to 0,$$

and $LH_{s,n}(K;G) = L\widetilde{H}_{s,n}(K;G)$ if $s>0$.

Proof $\widetilde{T}_{u,v}(K)$ is generated by product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes\sigma'$ such that $\sigma\subset\sigma'$, $|\sigma|=u$, $|\sigma'|=v$. Equivalently, $\widetilde{T}_{s,s+t+1}(K)$ is generated by all product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes(\tau\cup\sigma)$ such that $\sigma\cap\tau=\phi$, $|\sigma|=s$, $|\tau|=t$. So the correspondence $\sigma\otimes(\tau\cup\sigma)\to[\tau]_\sigma$ induces a graded group isomorphism $\varrho\colon \widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K)\to \oplus_{\sigma\in K}\widetilde{C}_*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma)$. Define ∂ on the latter group by $\partial([\tau]_\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n[\widetilde{\psi}_\sigma^{\sigma_i}(\tau)]_{\sigma_i} + (-1)^{|\sigma|}[\delta(\tau)]_\sigma$. Suppose $\sigma=[v_0,\cdots,v_n]$ and $\tau=[w_0,\cdots,w_m]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho\partial([v_0,\cdots,v_n]\otimes[w_0,\cdots,w_m,v_0,\cdots,v_n]) \\
= \ & \varrho(\Sigma_{i=0}^n(-1)^i[v_0,\cdots,\hat{v}_i,\cdots,v_n]\otimes[w_0,\cdots,w_m,v_0,\cdots,v_n]) \\
& +\varrho(\Sigma_{\{w,w_0,\cdots,w_m\}\in\mathrm{link}_K\sigma}(-1)^n[v_0,\cdots,v_n]\otimes[w,w_0,\cdots,w_m,v_0,\cdots,v_n]) \\
= \ & \Sigma_{i=0}^n[w_0,\cdots,w_n,v_i]_{\{v_0,\cdots,\hat{v}_i,\cdots,v_n\}} + (-1)^n[\delta([w_0,\cdots,w_m])]_{\{v_0,\cdots,v_n\}} \\
= \ & \partial([w_0,\cdots,w_m]_{\{v_0,\cdots,v_n\}}) \\
= \ & \partial\varrho([v_0,\cdots,v_n]\otimes[w_0,\cdots,w_m,v_0,\cdots,v_n]).
\end{aligned}$$

So ϱ is a well-defined double complex isomorphism that induces a spectral sequence isomorphism with E_2-term isomorphism stated in the theorem.

Define trivial double complex $U_{*,*}(K;G)$ by $U_{-1,t}(K;G) = \widetilde{L}_{-1,t}(K;G) = \widetilde{H}^t(K;G)$ and $U_{s,t}(K;G)=0$ if $s\geqslant 0$. Then $U_{*,*}$ is a subcomplex of $(\widetilde{L}_{*,*},\partial_1)$ and the quotient complex is just $(L_{*,*},\partial_1)$. So from the long exact sequence induced by the short exact sequence $0\to U_{*,*}\to\widetilde{L}_{*,*}\to L_{*,*}\to 0$ we get the relation between the reduced L-homology and L-homology.

From the proof of the above theorem we know that there are two ways to describe the structure of $(T_{*,*}(K;G),\partial)$. One way is to denote the generators by $\sigma\otimes\sigma'$ with $\partial(\sigma\otimes\sigma') = (d\sigma)\otimes\sigma' + (-1)^{|\sigma|}\sigma\otimes(\delta\sigma')$. The other is to denote the generators by $[\tau]_\sigma$ ($\tau\in\mathrm{link}_K\sigma$) with $\partial([\tau]_\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n[\widetilde{\psi}_\sigma^{\sigma_i}(\tau)]_{\sigma_i} + (-1)^{|\sigma|}[\delta(\tau)]_\sigma$. We have to use both in later proofs. But we only denote the generators of $(L_{*,*}(K;G),\partial_1)$ in one way, i.e., $[x]_\sigma$ with $x\in\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G)$ and $\partial_1([x]_\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^n[\psi_\sigma^{\sigma_i}(x)]_{\sigma_i} = \sum_{i=0}^n[x\cup[v_i]]_{\sigma_i}$. The reduced case is the same.

Remark We can similarly define $U_{s,t}(K) = C_s(K)\otimes C_t(K^c)$ generated by all $\sigma\otimes\tau$ with $\sigma\in K$ and $\tau\notin K$ such that $\sigma\subset\tau$ and $\partial(\sigma\otimes\tau) = (d\sigma)\otimes\tau + (-1)^{|\sigma|}\sigma\otimes(\delta\tau)$. Then the R-spectral sequence of K $\{R_r^{s,t}(K),\partial^r\}$ induced by the vertical filtration $R^n = \oplus_{s\geqslant n}U_{*,s}$ satisfies $R_1^{*,*}(K) = \oplus_{\sigma\notin K}\widetilde{H}_*(K|_\sigma)$, where $K|_\sigma = \{\tau\in K\,|\,\tau\subset\sigma\}$. But R-homology $RH^{s,t}(K;G) = R_2^{s,t}(K;G)$ is neither a homeomorphism or homotopy invariant of K nor a homeomorphism or homotopy invariant of the Alexander dual K^* of K.

Definition 5 For an Abellian group G, a simplicial complex K is of essential cohomology dimension n ($n>0$) over G if for all non-empty simplex σ of K, $\widetilde{H}^t(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;G)=0$ for all $t\neq n-|\sigma|-1$.

Theorem 6 Let K be a simplicial complex of essential cohomology dimension n over G. Then for all $0\leqslant s<n$, $L\widetilde{H}_{s,n}(K;G) = L\widetilde{H}_{-1,n-s}(K;G) = \widetilde{H}^{n-s}(K;G)$, $L\widetilde{H}_{n,n}(K;G)=G$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K;G)=0$ otherwise.

Proof By definition, $\widetilde{L}_{-1,s}(K;G) = \widetilde{H}^s(K;G)$ for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant n$ and $\widetilde{L}_{s,t}(K;G)=0$ if $s\neq -1$ or $t\neq n$. So the reduced L-spectral sequence satisfies $\widetilde{L}_{s,n}^{s+1}(K;G) = \widetilde{L}_{s,n}(K;G)$ and $\widetilde{L}_{-1,n-s}^{s+1}(K;G) = \widetilde{L}_{-1,n-s}^{s+1}(K;G)$ for all $0<s\leqslant n$. From the vertical filtration $E_k = \oplus_{t\geqslant k}T_{*,t}(K;G)$ we get a spectral sequence $E_{s,t,k}^r$ with $E_{*,*,*}^2 = \oplus_{\sigma\in K}\widetilde{H}^*(2^\sigma;G) = \widetilde{H}^*(2^\phi;G) = G$ (2^σ as defined in the following Example 7). So $H^*(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K;G),\partial)=G$ with the generator class represented by $\sum_{\sigma\in K}(-1)^{[\frac{|\sigma|}{2}]}\sigma\otimes\sigma$. So the reduced L-spectral sequence converges to G and

$\partial_{s+1}\colon \widetilde{L}_{s,n}(K;G) \to \widetilde{L}_{-1,n-s}(K;G)$ is an isomorphism for all $0\leqslant s<n$ and $\partial_{n+1}\colon \widetilde{L}_{n,n}(K;G) \to \widetilde{L}_{-1,0}(K;G)$ is an epimorphism with kernel G.

Example 7 For a set $\sigma = \{v_0,\cdots,v_n\}$, 2^σ is the simplicial complex consisting of all subsets of σ and $\partial\sigma$ is the simplicial complex consisting of all proper subsets of σ. By Theorem 4, $L\widetilde{H}_{n,n}(2^\sigma;G) = \widetilde{H}^{-1}(\mathrm{link}_{2^\sigma}\sigma;G) = \widetilde{H}^{-1}(\{\phi\};G) = G$ with generator class represented by $[\phi]_\sigma$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(2^\sigma;G) = 0$ otherwise. For all $\tau\in\partial\sigma$, $\mathrm{link}_{\partial\sigma}\tau = \partial(\sigma{-}\tau)$. So for $n\geqslant 2$, $\partial\sigma$ is of essential cohomology dimension $n{-}1$. By Theorem 6, $L\widetilde{H}_{n-1,n-1}(\partial\sigma;G) = G$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(\partial\sigma;G) = 0$ otherwise. A direct checking shows that the reduced L-homology formula holds for all $n\geqslant 0$ and the generator class of $L\widetilde{H}_{n-1,n-1}(\partial\sigma;G)$ is represented in $\widetilde{L}_{n-1,n-1}(\partial\sigma;G)$ by $\sum_{i=0}^n[\phi]_{\sigma_i}$ with $\sigma_i = \sigma{-}\{v_i\}$.

Definition 8 The geometrical realization of a simplicial complex K is the topological space $|K|$ defined as follows. Suppose the vertex set $S = \{v_1,\cdots,v_m\}$. Let $e_i\in\mathbb{R}^m$ be such that the i-th coordinate of e_i is 1 and all other coordinates of e_i are 0. Then $|K|$ is the union of all the convex hull of $e_{i_0},\cdots,e_{i_s}$ such that $\{v_{i_0},\cdots,v_{i_s}\}$ is a simplex of K. The convex hull of $e_{i_0},\cdots,e_{i_s}$ is a geometrical s-simplex of $|K|$. A polyhedron X is a topological space that is homeomorphic to the geometrical realization $|K|$ of a simplicial complex K and K is a triangulation of X.

From Example 7 we know that $LH_{*,*}(K;G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(K;G)$ are not homotopy invariant of the polyhedron $|K|$ since $|2^\sigma|$ is contractible but $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(2^\sigma;G)\neq L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(2^\tau;G)$ when $|\sigma|\neq|\tau|$. In fact, simplicial maps do not induce (reduced) L-homology homomorphisms. However, $LH_{*,*}$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}$ are homeomorphism invariant of polyhedra.

Definition 9 Let K be a simplicial complex. A stellar subdivision of K on the simplex σ is the simplicial complex $S_\sigma(K)$ defined as follows. If $\sigma=\phi$, then $S_\phi(K)=K$. If $|\sigma|=0$, then $S_\sigma(K)$ is simplicial isomorphic to K by replacing the unique vertex w of σ by a new vertex v. If $|\sigma|>0$, then the vertex set of $S_\sigma(K)$ is the vertex set of K added with a new vertex v and ($\partial\sigma$ is as defined in Example 7)

$$S_\sigma(K) = (K{-}\{\sigma\}{*}\mathrm{link}_K\sigma)\cup C(\partial\sigma{*}\mathrm{link}_K\sigma) = \{\tau\in K\,|\,\sigma\not\subset\tau\}\cup\{\{v\}\cup\sigma'\cup\tau\,|\,\sigma'\in\partial\sigma,\ \tau\in\mathrm{link}_K\sigma\}.$$

Suppose the vertex set of K is $\{v_1,\cdots,v_m\}$ and $\sigma=\{v_{i_0},\cdots,v_{i_s}\}\in K$. Then the map $f(e_i)=e_i$ for $i=1,\cdots,m$, $f(e_{m+1})=\frac{1}{s+1}(e_{i_0}+\cdots+e_{i_s})$ extends linearly to a homeomorphism from $|S_\sigma(K)|$ to $|K|$. Conversely, by [**1**] and [**19**], two polyhedra $|K|$ and $|L|$ are homeomorphic if and only if there are simplicial complexes $K_0=K,K_1,\cdots,K_n,K_{n+1}=L$ such that for every $i=0,\cdots,n$, either K_{i+1} is a stellar subdivision of K_i, or K_i is a stellar subdivision of K_{i+1}.

Theorem 10 Let K be a simplicial complex and G be an Abellian group. Then for any simplex σ of K, $LH_{*,*}(K;G)=LH_{*,*}(S_\sigma(K);G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(K;G)=L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(S_\sigma(K);G)$. Thus for a polyhedron X, define $LH_{*,*}(X;G)=LH_{*,*}(K;G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(X;G)=L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(K;G)$ for any triangulation K of X. Then $LH_{*,*}(X;G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(X;G)$ are independent of the triangulation and are homeomorphism invariants of X.

Proof We first prove the reduced case. If $|\sigma|\leqslant 0$, the conclusion holds by definition. Suppose $\sigma=\{v_0,\cdots,v_n\}$ $(=[v_0,\cdots,v_n]$ when necessary) with $n>0$. Denote $L=S_\sigma(K)$.

Let $M=K\cap L$ be the simplicial subcomplex of K and L consisting of all simplexes τ such that $\sigma\cap\tau$ is a proper face of σ. Let $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K|_M)$ and $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L|_M)$ be respectively the subgroup of $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K)$ and $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L)$ generated by all tensor product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes\tau$ such that $\sigma\in M$ and $\sigma\subset\tau$. Then $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K|_M),\partial)$ and $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L|_M),\partial)$ are respectively double subcomplexes of $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K),\partial)$ and $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L),\partial)$ generated by all tensor product of chain simplexes $\sigma\otimes\tau$ such that $\sigma\in M$. From the horizontal filtration we get reduced L-spectral sequences $\widetilde{L}^r_{s,t}(K|_M;G)$ and $\widetilde{L}^r_{s,t}(L|_M;G)$ and reduced L-homology $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K|_M;G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(L|_M;G)$. Define $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K/M),\partial)=(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K),\partial)/(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K|_M),\partial)$ and $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L/M),\partial)=(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L),\partial)/(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L|_M),\partial)$. From the horizontal filtration we also get reduced L-spectral sequences $\widetilde{L}^r_{s,t}(K/M;G)$ and $\widetilde{L}^r_{s,t}(L/M;G)$ and reduced L-homology $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K/M;G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(L/M;G)$. We have the following two short exact sequences of double complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K|_M;G) & \to & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G) & \to & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K/M;G) & \to & 0\\
0 & \to & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L|_M;G) & \to & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L;G) & \to & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L/M;G) & \to & 0
\end{array}$$

For all simplex $\tau\in M$, $\mathrm{link}_L\tau = S_{\sigma-\tau}(\mathrm{link}_K\tau)$ and let $f_\tau\colon \mathrm{link}_L\tau\to\mathrm{link}_K\tau$ be the simplicial map defined by $f_\tau(v)=v_s$ for a fixed $v_s\in\sigma{-}\tau$ and $f_\tau(w)=w$ for all other w (f_τ is the identity map if

$\sigma{-}\tau=\phi$). Then f_τ induces a homomorphism $\widetilde{f}_\tau\colon(\widetilde{C}_*(\mathrm{link}_K\tau;G),\delta)\to(\widetilde{C}_*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;G),\delta)$ and an isomorphism $f_\tau^*\colon H^*(\mathrm{link}_K\tau)\to H^*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau)$. Theorem 4 also holds for $K|_M$ and $L|_M$. Precisely, we have two isomorphisms

$$(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K|_M;G),\partial)=(\oplus_{\tau\in M}\widetilde{C}_*(\mathrm{link}_K\tau;G),\partial)\qquad(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L|_M;G),\partial)=(\oplus_{\tau\in M}\widetilde{C}_*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;G),\partial)$$

with $\partial([\eta]_\tau)=(-1)^\tau[\delta(\eta)]_\tau+\sum_i[\eta\cup[w_i]]_{\tau_i}$ for all chain simplex $\eta\in\mathrm{link}_K\tau$ or $\mathrm{link}_L\tau$ and $\tau_i=\tau{-}\{w_i\}$. $\varpi'=\oplus_{\tau\in M}\widetilde{f}_\tau$ is a graded group isomorphism between the two double complexes. To prove $\varpi'\partial=\partial\varpi'$, we may suppose $\tau=\{v_s,\cdots,v_n,v_{n+1},\cdots,v_{n+t}\}$ and $f_\tau(v)=v_1$. Denote $\tau_i=\tau{-}\{v_i\}$. Then for a chain simplex $\eta\in\mathrm{link}_K\tau$ such that $\sigma{-}\tau$ is not a proper face of η, $\widetilde{f}_\tau(\eta)=\eta$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}\widetilde{f}_\tau(\eta)=\widetilde{f}_\tau\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}(\eta)=\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}(\eta)$. For $\eta\in\mathrm{link}_K\tau$ such that $\eta=[v_1,\cdots,v_{s-1},v_{l_1},\cdots,v_{l_u}]$ $(l_j>n{+}t)$, $\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}\widetilde{f}_\tau(\eta)=\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}([v,v_2,\cdots,v_{s-1},v_{l_1},\cdots,v_{l_u}])=[v,v_2,\cdots,v_{s-1},v_{l_1},\cdots,v_{l_u},v_i]=\widetilde{f}_\tau([v_1,\cdots,v_{s-1},v_{l_1},\cdots,v_{l_u},v_i])=\widetilde{f}_\tau\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}(\eta)$. Thus $\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}\widetilde{f}_\tau=\widetilde{f}_\tau\widetilde{\psi}_\tau^{\tau_i}$. Since $\widetilde{f}_\tau$ is induced by simplicial map, $\delta\widetilde{f}_\tau=\widetilde{f}_\tau\delta$. So $\varpi'\partial=\partial\varpi'$ and ϖ' is a double complex homomorphism from $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K|_M;G),\partial)$ to $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L|_M;G),\partial)$. Since f_τ^* is an isomorphism for all $\tau\in M$, $\varpi_1=\oplus_{\tau\in M}f_\tau^*$ is a double complex isomorphism from $(\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K|_M;G),\partial_1)$ to $(\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L|_M;G),\partial_1)$.

Denote $B=\mathrm{link}_K\sigma$. Then for $\tau\in B$ and $\sigma'\in\partial\sigma$ ($\partial\sigma$ as defined in Example 7),

$$\mathrm{link}_K\sigma\cup\tau=\mathrm{link}_B\tau,\qquad \mathrm{link}_L\{v\}\cup\sigma'\cup\tau=(\mathrm{link}_{\partial\sigma}\sigma')*(\mathrm{link}_B\tau).$$

The two simplicial isomorphisms implies two isomorphisms $\varrho_1\colon(\widetilde{T}_{n+*,n+*}(K/M),\partial)\to(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(B),\partial)$ and $\varrho_2\colon(\widetilde{T}_{*+1,*+1}(L/M),\partial)\to(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(\partial\sigma),\partial)\otimes(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(B),\partial)$ defined as follows. $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K/M)$ is generated by all tensor product of chain simplexes $\tau\cup\sigma\otimes\eta\cup\tau\cup\sigma$ such that $\tau\in B$ and $\eta\in\mathrm{link}_B\tau$. So the correspondence $\tau\cup\sigma\otimes\eta\cup\tau\cup\sigma\to(-1)^{|\sigma|+1}\tau\otimes\eta\cup\tau$ induces ϱ_1. Similarly, $\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L/M)$ is generated by all tensor product of chain simplexes $\sigma'\cup\tau\cup[v]\otimes\xi\cup\eta\cup\sigma'\cup\tau\cup[v]$ such that $\xi\in\mathrm{link}_{\partial\sigma}\sigma'$ and $\eta\in\mathrm{link}_B\tau$. So the correspondence $\sigma'\cup\tau\cup[v]\otimes\xi\cup\eta\cup\sigma'\cup\tau\cup[v]\to(-1)^{(|\xi|+1)|\tau|+(|\eta|+1)|\sigma'|}(\sigma'\otimes\xi\cup\sigma')\otimes(\tau\otimes\eta\cup\tau)$ induces ϱ_2. In another way, $\varrho_1([\eta]_{\sigma\cup\tau})=(-1)^{|\sigma|+1}[\eta]_\tau$ for all chain simplex $\eta\in\mathrm{link}_K\sigma\cup\tau=\mathrm{link}_B\tau$ and $\varrho_2([\xi\cup\eta]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma'\cup\tau})=(-1)^{(|\xi|+1)|\tau|+(|\eta|+1)|\sigma'|}[\xi]_{\sigma'}\otimes[\eta]_\tau$ for all $\xi\cup\eta\in\mathrm{link}_L\{v\}\cup\sigma'\cup\tau=\mathrm{link}_{\partial\sigma}\sigma'*\mathrm{link}_B\tau$. Since $L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(\partial\sigma)=\mathbb{Z}$ represented by $\sum_{i=0}^n[\phi]_{\sigma_i}$ (see Example 7), the correspondence

$$[x]_{\sigma\cup\tau}\to\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^n[x]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma_i\cup\tau}$$

for all $x\in\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_B\tau;G)$ and $\tau\in B$ induces a double complex homomorphism $\varpi_2\colon(\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K/M;G),\partial_1)\to(\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L/M;G),\partial_1)$ that induces a reduced L-homology isomorphism.

Since there are graded group isomorphism $\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G)=\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K|_M;G)\oplus\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K/M;G)$ and $\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L;G)=\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L|_M;G)\oplus\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L/M;G)$, we have a graded group homomorphism $\varpi=\varpi_1\oplus\varpi_2\colon\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G)\to\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L;G)$. By the previous conclusion, $\partial_1\varpi(z)=\varpi\partial_1(z)$ for all $z\in\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K|_M;G)$. Notice that $\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K/M;G)$ is generated by element of the form $[x]_{\sigma\cup\tau}$ with $x\in\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma\cup\tau;G)=\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_B\tau;G)$. Suppose $\tau=\{w_1,\cdots,w_m\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1\varpi([x]_{\sigma\cup\tau})\\
=\;&\partial_1(\Sigma_i\,[x]_{[v]\cup\sigma_i\cup\tau})\\
=\;&\Sigma_i\,[x\cup[v]]_{\sigma_i\cup\tau}+\Sigma_{i<j}\,[x\cup([v_i]+[v_j])]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma_{i,j}\cup\tau}+\Sigma_{i,k}\,[x\cup[w_k]]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma_i\cup(\tau-\{w_k\})}\\
=\;&\Sigma_i\,[x\cup[v]]_{\sigma_i\cup\tau}+\Sigma_{i,k}\,[x\cup[w_k]]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma_i\cup(\tau-\{w_k\})}\\
=\;&\Sigma_i\,\varpi_1([x\cup[v_i]]_{\sigma_i\cup\tau})+\Sigma_k\,\varpi_2([x\cup[w_k]]_{\sigma\cup(\tau-\{w_k\})})\\
=\;&\varpi\partial_1([x]_{\sigma\cup\tau})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_{i,j}=\{v_0,\cdots,\hat{v}_i,\cdots,\hat{v}_j,\cdots,v_n\}$ and $\Sigma_{i<j}\,[x\cup(\{v_i\}+\{v_j\})]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma_{i,j}\cup\tau}=\sum_i(-1)^{|x|+1}[\delta(x)]_{\{v\}\cup\sigma_{i,j}\cup\tau}=0$. Thus, $\partial_1\varpi=\varpi\partial_1$ and we have the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences of double complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K|_M;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi_1"'] & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi"'] & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K/M;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi_2"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L|_M;G) \arrow[r] & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L;G) \arrow[r] & \widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L/M;G) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

that induces a commutative diagram of long exact sequences of reduced L-homology

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K|_M;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi_1^*"'] & L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi^*"'] & L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(K/M;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi_2^*"'] & L\widetilde{H}_{s-1,t}(K|_M;G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varpi_1^*"'] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(L|_M;G) \arrow[r] & L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(L;G) \arrow[r] & L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(L/M;G) \arrow[r] & L\widetilde{H}_{s-1,t}(L|_M;G) \arrow[r] & \cdots\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since ϖ_1^* and ϖ_2^* are isomorphisms, $\varpi^*\colon L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(K;G)\to L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(L;G)$ is an isomorphism.

Let $U_{*,*}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 4. Then $U_{-1,*}(K;G)=\widetilde{H}^*(K;G)=\widetilde{H}^*(L;G)=U_{-1,*}(L;G)$, so the reduced L-homology isomorphism from K to L induces L-homology isomorphism.

By Theorem 10, the disk D^m and D^n are not homeomorphic if $m \neq n$, since their (reduced) L-homology are different.

Theorem 11 For two polyhedra X and Y and Abellian group G,

$$\begin{aligned} LH_{*,*}(X \sqcup Y;G) &= LH_{*,*}(X;G) \oplus LH_{*,*}(Y;G), \\ L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(X \vee Y;G) &= L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(X;G)\widetilde{\oplus}L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(Y;G), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sqcup$ and $\vee$ are respectively the disjoint union and one point union of topological spaces and $\widetilde{\oplus}$ is defined by $L\widetilde{H}_{-1,0}(X \vee Y;G) = G\oplus L\widetilde{H}_{-1,0}(X;G) \oplus L\widetilde{H}_{-1,0}(Y;G)$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(X \vee Y;G) = L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(X;G) \oplus L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(Y;G)$ otherwise.

Proof Since for any triangulation K of X and L of Y, we have by Theorem 4

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{*,*}(K \sqcup L;G),\partial\,) &= (T_{*,*}(K;G),\partial\,)\oplus(T_{*,*}(L;G),\partial\,), \\ (\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K \vee L;G),\partial_1) &= (\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(K;G),\partial_1)\widetilde{\oplus}(\widetilde{L}_{*,*}(L;G),\partial_1), \end{aligned}$$

the theorem holds.

Theorem 12 For two polyhedra X and Y and a field $\mathbb{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(X{*}Y;\mathbb{F}) &= L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(X;\mathbb{F})\,\widetilde{\otimes}\,L\widetilde{H}_{*,*}(Y;\mathbb{F}), \\ LH_{*,*}(X{\times}Y;\mathbb{F}) &= LH_{*,*}(X;\mathbb{F}) \otimes LH_{*,*}(Y;\mathbb{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\otimes}$ is defined by $L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(X{*}Y) = \oplus_{s'+s''=s-1,\, t'+t''=t-1} L\widetilde{H}_{s',t'}(X)\otimes L\widetilde{H}_{s'',t''}(Y)$. The cone satisfies that for all Abellian group G, $L\widetilde{H}_{s+1,t+1}(CX;G) = L\widetilde{H}_{s,t}(X;G)$ for all $s,t \geqslant 0$ and $L\widetilde{H}_{u,v}(CX;G) = 0$ if $u < 1$ or $v < 1$.

Proof For any two simplicial complexes K and L, $(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K * L),\partial) = (\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(K),\partial)\widetilde{\otimes}(\widetilde{T}_{*,*}(L),\partial)$. For any two simplexes $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau \in L$, $\mathrm{link}_{K*L}\sigma\sqcup\tau = \mathrm{link}_K\sigma * \mathrm{link}_L\tau$. So the union case holds by Theorem 4 and Künneth Theorem. If $K = \{\phi, \{v\}\}$, the cone case holds for all Abellian group G by definition.

The Cartesian product case $K\bar{\times}L$ is complicated and we only give a sketch of the proof. Suppose the ordered vertex sets of K and L are respectively $S = \{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}$ and $T = \{w_1, \cdots, w_n\}$. Denote $\o S{\times}T = S{\times}T \cup \{\phi\}$. Define relation $\prec$ on $\o S{\times}T$ as follows. $(v_i, w_j) \prec (v_k, w_l)$ if $i \leqslant k$ and $j \leqslant l$ and $(i,j) \neq (k,l)$. $\phi \prec (v_i, w_j)$ and $(v_i, w_j) \prec \phi$ for all (v_i, w_j). For $b_1, b_2 \in \o S{\times}T$ with $b_1 = (v_i, w_j)$ and $b_2 = (v_k, w_l)$ ($i, j = 0$ if $b_1 = \phi$ and $k, l = m{+}n{+}1$ if $b_2 = \phi$),

$$\begin{aligned} B(b_1,b_2) &= \{\xi{\in}K\bar{\times}L \,|\, \xi = \{\phi\}, \text{ or } \xi{=}\{c_1,\cdots,c_n\} \text{ such that } b_1{\prec}c_1{\prec}\cdots{\prec}c_n{\prec}b_2\}, \\ B_K(b_1,b_2) &= \{\ \sigma \in K\ \ |\, \sigma = \{\phi\}, \text{ or } \sigma{=}\{v_{i_1},\cdots,v_{i_s}\} \text{ such that } i{<}i_1{<}\cdots{<}i_s{<}k\}, \\ B_L(b_1,b_2) &= \{\ \tau \in L\ \ |\, \tau = \{\phi\}, \text{ or } \tau{=}\{v_{j_1},\cdots,v_{j_t}\} \text{ such that } j{<}j_1{<}\cdots{<}j_t{<}l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then their geometrical realizations satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |B(b_1,b_2)| &\cong |B_K(b_1,b_2))|\,\overline{\wedge}\,|B_L(b_1,b_2)| \text{ if one of } b_1 \text{ and } b_2 \text{ is } \phi, \\ |B(b_1,b_2)| &\cong |B_K(b_1,b_2))|\,\overline{\overline{\wedge}}\,|B_L(b_1,b_2)| \text{ if } b_1,b_2 \neq \phi \text{ and } i<k,\ j<l, \\ |B(b_1,b_2)| &\cong |B_K(b_1,b_2))| \text{ if } b_1,b_2 \neq \phi \text{ and } i<k, j=l, \\ |B(b_1,b_2)| &\cong |B_L\,(b_1,b_2))| \text{ if } b_1,b_2 \neq \phi \text{ and } i=k, j<l, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X\,\overline{\wedge}\,Y &= (CX{\times}Y \cup X{\times}CY)/{\sim} \text{ with } ((1,x),y){\sim}(x,(1,y)) \text{ for all } (x,y){\in}X{\times}Y, \\ X\,\overline{\overline{\wedge}}\,Y &= (CX\overline{\wedge}Y \cup X\overline{\wedge}CY)/{\sim} \text{ with } (1,x)\,\overline{\wedge}\,y{\sim}\,x\,\overline{\wedge}\,(1,y) \text{ for all } x\,\overline{\wedge}\,y \in X\,\overline{\wedge}\,Y, \end{aligned}$$

and $CX = I{\times}X/\{0\}{\times}X$, $CY = I{\times}Y/\{0\}{\times}Y$. From the Mayer-Vietorus sequence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}^*(B(b_1,b_2);\mathbb{F}) &= \Sigma^2\widetilde{H}^*(B_K(b_1,b_2);\mathbb{F}) \otimes \widetilde{H}^*(B_L(b_1,b_2);\mathbb{F}) \text{ if } b_1,b_2 \neq \phi \text{ and } i<k,\ j<l, \\ \widetilde{H}^*(B(b_1,b_2);\mathbb{F}) &= \Sigma\ \widetilde{H}^*(B_K(b_1,b_2);\mathbb{F}) \otimes \widetilde{H}^*(B_L(b_1,b_2);\mathbb{F}) \text{ otherwise}, \end{aligned}$$

where Σ means uplifting the degree by 1.

For a non-empty simplex $\xi = \{b_0,\cdots,b_n\} \in K\bar{\times}L$ such that $b_0{\prec}\cdots{\prec}b_n$,

$$\mathrm{link}_{K\bar{\times}L}\xi = B(\phi,b_0) * B(b_0,b_1) * \cdots * B(b_{n-1},b_n) * B(b_n,\phi).$$

Define $P(\xi) = (\sigma,\tau) \in K{\times}L$ to be such that $\xi \subset \sigma{\times}\tau$ and $\xi \not\subset \sigma'{\times}\tau$, $\xi \not\subset \sigma{\times}\tau'$ for all proper faces σ' of σ and τ' of τ. Then we have

$$\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_{K\bar{\times}L}\xi;\mathbb{F}) = \Sigma^{|\sigma|+|\tau|-|\xi|+1}\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;\mathbb{F}) \otimes \widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;\mathbb{F})$$

and a direct checking shows that the homomorphism $\psi_\xi^{\xi_i}$ with $\xi_i = \xi{-}\{b_i\}$ is an isomorphism if $P(\xi_i) = P(\xi)$.

Thus, by Theorem 4, there is a double complex isomorphism

$$(L_{*,*}(K\bar{\times}L;\mathbb{F}),\partial_1)=(\oplus_{P(\xi)=(\sigma,\tau),\xi\neq\phi}\Sigma^{|\sigma|+|\tau|-|\xi|+1}\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;\mathbb{F})\otimes\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;\mathbb{F}),\widetilde{\partial}_1).$$

Give the right side double complex a filtration $\{E_n\}$ as follows. For $[x]_\xi$ such that $P(\xi)=(\sigma,\tau)$, define $\|[x]_\xi\|=|\sigma|+|\tau|$ and E_n to be the subgroup generated by all $[x]_\xi$ such that $\|[x]_\xi\|\leqslant n$. Then we get a spectral sequence $(E^r_{s,t,u},d_r)$ from the filtration converging to $LH_{*,*}(K\bar{\times}L;\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$(E^1_{*,*,*},d_1)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\tau)\in K\times L,\sigma,\tau\neq\phi}(C_*(\sigma\bar{\times}\tau)/C_*(\partial(\sigma\bar{\times}\tau)),d)\otimes\Sigma^{|\sigma|+|\tau|-|\xi|+1}\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;\mathbb{F})\otimes\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;\mathbb{F}).$$

Since $H_*(C_*(\sigma\bar{\times}\tau)/C_*(\partial(\sigma\bar{\times}\tau));\mathbb{F})=\mathbb{F}$ with generator class represented by $\Sigma_{P(\xi)=(\sigma,\tau),|\xi|=|\sigma|+|\tau|}[\xi]$ (the vertex of the chain complex $[\xi]$ is in order), we have

$$(E^2_{*,*,*},d_2)=(\oplus_{P(\xi)=(\sigma,\tau),|\xi|=|\sigma|+|\tau|,\xi\neq\phi}[\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;\mathbb{F})\otimes\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;\mathbb{F})]_\xi,d_2).$$

So the correspondence $[x]_\sigma\otimes[y]_\tau\to\Sigma_{P(\xi)=(\sigma,\tau),|\xi|=|\sigma|+|\tau|}[x\otimes y]_\xi$ for all $x\in\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_K\sigma;\mathbb{F})$ and $y\in\widetilde{H}^*(\mathrm{link}_L\tau;\mathbb{F})$ is an isomorphism from $(L_{*,*}(K;\mathbb{F}),\partial_1)\otimes(L_{*,*}(L;\mathbb{F}),\partial_1)$ to $(E^2_{*,*,*},d_2)$. So $E^3_{*,*,*}=LH_{*,*}(K;\mathbb{F})\otimes LH_{*,*}(L;\mathbb{F})$. But the spectral sequence collapse from $r\geqslant 3$. The theorem holds.

References

[1] J.W. Alexander,*The combinatorial theorey of complexes,* Ann. Math., (2), **31** (1930).292-320.

[2] C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological Methods in Transformation Groups,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **32**, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[3] A. Bahri, M.Bendersky, F. R. Cohen and S. Gitler, Decompositions of the polyhedral product functor with applications to moment-angle complexes and related spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106** (2009), 12241-12244.

[4] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen and S. Gitler, *The polyhedral product functor: A method of computation for moment-angle complexes, arrangement and related spaces,* preprint arXiv:0711.4689v2 [math.AT] 8 Dec 2008.

[5] I. Baskakov, *Cohomology of K-powers of spaces and the combinatorics of simplicial divisions,* Russian Math. Surveys **57** (2002), no. 5, 989-990.

[6] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, *Torus Actions and Their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, Vol. **24**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2002.

[7] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, Combinatorics of simplicial cell complexes and torus action, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **247** (2004), 33-49.

[8] X. Cao and Z. Lü, Möbius transform, moment-angle complexes and Halperin-Carlsson conjecture, *preprint.* arXiv:0908.3174v2 [math.CO] 12 Sep 2009.

[9] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, coxeter orbifolds and torus action, *Duck Math. J.* **62** (1991), 417-451.

[10] G. Denham and A. Suciu, Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals and Massey products, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), 25-60.

[11] M. Franz, The intergral cohomology of toric manifolds, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **252** (2006), 53-62. [Proceedings of the Keldysh Conference, Moscow 2004].

[12] R. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse Theory,* Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[13] J. Grbic and R. Theriault, *Homotopy type of the complement of a coordinate sunspace arrangement of codimension two,* Russian Math. Surveys **59** (2004), no. 3, 1207-1209.

[14] P. J. Hilton, U. Stammbach, *A Course in Homological Algebra,* Berlin-Heideberg-New York: Springer 1971.

[15] M. Hoster, *Cohen-Macaulay ring, combinatorics and simplecial complexes,* in: Ring theory, II (Proc. Second Conf. Univ. Oklahoma, Norman, Okla., 1975), pp. 171-223.

[16] S. Lopez de Medrano, *Topology of the intersection of quadrics in* $\mathbb{R}^n$*,* in *Algebraic Topology* (Arcata Ca), Springer Verlag LNM **1370** (1989), Springer Verlag.

[17] Z. Lu and T. Panov, Moment-angle complexes from simplicial posets, *preprint* arXiv:0912.2219v1 [math.AT] 11 Dec 2009.

[18] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Math. **227**, Springer, 2005.

[19] U. Pachner, PL Homoeomorphic Manifolds are Equivalent by Elementary Shellings, it Europ. J. Combinatorics **12** (1991), 129-145.

[20] T. E. Panov, Cohomology of face rings and torus actions, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.* **347** (2008), 165-201.

[21] G. Porter, *The homotopy groups of wedge of suspensions,* Amer. J. Math., **88** (1966), 655-663.

[22] R. P. Stanley *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra* second edition, Progress in Math. **41** Birkhauser, Boston, 1996.

[23] Y. Ustinovsky, Toral rank conjecture for moment-angle complexes, *preprint* arXiv:0909.1053v2 [math.AT] 29 Sep 2009.

[24] R. Vogt, *Homotopy limits and colimits,* Math. Z. **134** (1973), 11-52.

[25] Q. Zheng, A New Massey Product on Ext Groups, *Journal of Algebra.* **183** (1996), 378-395.

School of Mathematical Science and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P.R.China
E-mail address: `zhengqb@nankai.edu.cn`